INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LEGAL RESEARCH JOURNAL

VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025

APIS – 3920 – 0011 | ISSN - 2584-1904

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

GROUNDWATER GOVERNANCE IN CRISIS: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL'S CONTRIBUTION TO ENVIRONMENTAL RULE OF LAW IN UTTAR PRADESH

AUTHOR - AABIL HUSAIN* & DR. MANEESH YADAV**

* PH.D. RESEARCH SCHOLAR, COLLEGE OF LAW & LEGAL STUDIES, TEERTHANKER MAHAVEER UNIVERSITY, MORADABAD, INDIA

** PROFESSOR, COLLEGE OF LAW & LEGAL STUDIES, TEERTHANKER MAHAVEER UNIVERSITY, MORADABAD, INDIA

BEST CITATION - AABIL HUSAIN & DR. MANEESH YADAV, GROUNDWATER GOVERNANCE IN CRISIS: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL'S CONTRIBUTION TO ENVIRONMENTAL RULE OF LAW IN UTTAR PRADESH, *INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LEGAL RESEARCH JOURNAL,* 3 (1) OF 2025, PG. 46-53, APIS – 3920 – 0011 | ISSN - 2584-1904.

Abstract

The unsustainable control of groundwater resources in Uttar Pradesh poses a great hazard to public health, monetary stability, and ecological balance. because the kingdom grapples with severe over-extraction and contamination from business, agricultural, and home resources, the national inexperienced Tribunal (NGT), a specialized judicial body, has emerged as a critical arbiter. This paper significantly evaluations the NGT's contribution to organising and reinforcing the environmental rule of regulation in Uttar Pradesh with a special focus on groundwater. through an analysis of landmark judgments and directives, the research examines the tribunal's proactive utility of middle environmental concepts, such as the Polluter pays precept, the Precautionary precept, and the general public consider Doctrine. It evaluates the NGT's modern technique in putting in place expert committees, imposing environmental repayment, and mandating remediation plans. at the same time as the tribunal has confirmed exceptional judicial activism, the paper additionally highlights the considerable demanding situations to the on-the-floor enforcement of its orders. The analysis exhibits a chronic hole between judicial pronouncements and their effective implementation, in large part due to institutional weaknesses, loss of inter-enterprise coordination, and socio-political complexities. The studies concludes that while the NGT has been instrumental in shaping environmental jurisprudence and elevating duty, a better and decentralized governance framework is critical for translating judicial victories into sustainable environmental effects in Uttar Pradesh.

Keywords: NGT, Groundwater, Uttar Pradesh, Environmental Rule of Law, Judicial Activism

I. Introduction

Uttar Pradesh, a kingdom with a considerable population and a predominantly agrarian economy, is dealing with a excessive groundwater crisis. The Indo-Gangetic undeniable, domestic to one of the global's largest and maximum productive aquifers, is experiencing alarming declines in water levels and pervasive contamination. The number one drivers of this disaster include unscientific over-extraction for irrigation and enterprise, and pollutants from untreated business effluents, agricultural runoff, and domestic sewage. The inadequacy of conventional legal and administrative mechanisms to cope with this complicated problem led to the status quo of

INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LEGAL RESEARCH JOURNAL
VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025
APIS – 3920 – 0011 | ISSN - 2584-1904
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

the national inexperienced Tribunal (NGT) in 2010.[95]

Uttar Pradesh, a nation of mammoth demographic and monetary significance in India, is presently going through a dire groundwater disaster. This crisis is a -fold challenge of quantitative depletion and qualitative contamination. The sizeable aquifers of the Indo-Gangetic plain, which function the backbone for the nation's consuming water and agricultural wishes, are under unparalleled stress from unregulated over-extraction, specially for irrigation and commercial use. Compounding this problem is the substantial pollution from diverse sources, such as untreated industrial effluents, fertilizer and pesticide runoff, and strong waste leachate from unexpectedly urbanizing regions. The traditional felony and administrative mechanisms, in large part ruled through nation-stage bodies, have confirmed insufficient in managing this complicated and escalating problem.

The failure of traditional governance systems has created a vacuum, which the country wide inexperienced Tribunal (NGT), a specialized statutory body, has been actively filling given that its established order in 2010. The NGT was created to offer a committed forum for environmental justice, ensuring the "powerful and expeditious disposal of cases referring to environmental protection and conservation of forests and different herbal assets." Its unique composition, which incorporates each judicial and expert members, permits it to deal with the technical and medical complexities of environmental troubles. in the context of Uttar Pradesh, the NGT has taken on a pivotal role, issuing landmark judgments and directives that have each challenged the reputation quo and tried to hold polluters and government organizations responsible.[96]

This paper critically opinions the NGT's contribution to setting up and reinforcing the environmental rule of regulation in Uttar Pradesh, with a specific attention on groundwater. The primary argument is that even as the NGT has been a powerful pressure in shaping revolutionary environmental jurisprudence and compelling duty, its impact is often diluted by way of sizeable on-the-ground implementation challenges. The research will observe how the tribunal has carried out key felony doctrines, which includes the Polluter will pay precept and the public consider Doctrine, to shield groundwater assets. with the aid of studying a ramification of key judgments and significantly assessing the subsequent enforcement efforts, this paper ambitions to bridge the gap among criminal principle and sensible fact. it's going to finish that even as the NGT is an indispensable catalyst for change, a actual and lasting technique to the groundwater disaster in Uttar Pradesh requires a better, decentralized, and properly-resourced state-stage governance framework.[97]

The NGT, a specialized environmental court, was created with the mandate to provide expeditious justice for environmental disputes and to put into effect prison rights referring to the environment. This paper seeks to critically look at the NGT's function in addressing the groundwater crisis in Uttar Pradesh. The significant thesis is that the NGT has been a powerful pressure in shaping a progressive environmental jurisprudence and retaining polluters accountable, thereby contributing substantially to the environmental rule of regulation. but, its effect is often diluted by means of systemic failures in enforcement, highlighting a important disconnect among criminal principles and ground-degree fact..

II. The Legal and Institutional Framework in Uttar Pradesh

before studying the NGT's role, it is important to recognize the pre-existing criminal framework

[95] Balasubramanian, A. 2015. The World's Water: Technical Report. Centre for Advanced Studies in Earth Science, University of Mysore. Boelens, R. and Vos, J. (Eds.). 2018. Water justice. Cambridge University Press.
[96] Burke, J.J. 2001. Groundwater and Society: Resources, Tensions and Opportunities. United Nations, New York.
[97] Chaddha, D.K. 2000. Groundwater recharge: option for groundwater management. J. Transp. Infrastruct., 7(4): 44-57.

in Uttar Pradesh. The nation's response to the groundwater crisis has been a mix of crucial and state-stage law. The Water (Prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1974 and the surroundings (safety) Act, 1986 are key important statutes, with their implementation within the nation falling to the Uttar Pradesh pollution manage Board (UPPCB).[98]

The prison and institutional framework for groundwater governance in Uttar Pradesh is a multi-layered device that has developed in reaction to the escalating water disaster. before the country wide green Tribunal (NGT) have become a prominent player, the state trusted a mixture of vital and state-stage laws. principal statutes like the Water (Prevention and manage of pollution) Act, 1974, and the surroundings (protection) Act, 1986, gave some authority to the Uttar Pradesh pollution manage Board (UPPCB) to modify water best. however, these legal guidelines weren't especially designed for groundwater, and the UPPCB frequently struggled with enforcement due to a loss of sources and technical information. This created a extensive felony and institutional vacuum in addressing groundwater depletion and infection.

In a landmark circulate, the country authorities enacted the Uttar Pradesh floor Water (management and law) Act, 2019, to at once address the groundwater crisis. This act aimed to defend, conserve, and alter groundwater, particularly in critically "over-exploited" and "burdened" regions. The act mounted a brand new institutional framework, creating a kingdom ground Water control and Regulatory Authority and a decentralized structure with committees at the district and gram panchayat levels. no matter its revolutionary motive, the regulation has giant limitations, along with except home and agricultural users from its penal provisions, which leaves the biggest segment of groundwater consumers unregulated. This inadequacy of the kingdom's felony framework has allowed the NGT to step in and observe its broad powers to fill the governance gaps.[99]

but, the want for a specific, kingdom-stage regulation to manage groundwater brought about the enactment of the Uttar Pradesh floor Water (management and law) Act, 2019. This Act aims to control groundwater extraction, mandate rainwater harvesting, and penalize unlawful abstraction. The Act installed a country ground Water control and Regulatory Authority to oversee its implementation. regardless of this, the effectiveness of the Act remains confined, specially in regulating the extensive number of agricultural and home customers, and in the enforcement towards commercial defaulters.

The UPPCB, frequently burdened with a loss of assets and technical information, has been in large part useless in stopping and punishing environmental violations. This institutional vacuum created a fertile ground for public hobby litigation and judicial intervention, a role that the NGT has conveniently crammed.

III. NGT's Jurisprudence on Groundwater in Uttar Pradesh

The NGT has actively used its wide powers below the NGT Act, 2010 to cope with a wide variety of groundwater troubles in Uttar Pradesh. Its judgments show a robust utility of established environmental principles, which have together reinforced the environmental rule of regulation.

The national green Tribunal (NGT) has played a good sized position in addressing the groundwater crisis in Uttar Pradesh through its jurisprudence. Its technique is characterized through judicial activism and the direct application of key environmental standards. The NGT's judgments on groundwater issues in the country have set up a strong prison framework for environmental responsibility, often stepping in where traditional regulatory bodies have failed.[100]

[98] Fitts, C.R. 2012. Groundwater Science. Second Edition. Elsevier, The Netherlands.

[99] Khan, S.A., Puthucherril, T.G. and Paul, S.R. (Eds.). 2021. Groundwater Law and Management in India: From an Elitist to an Egalitarian Paradigm. Springer, Singapore.

[100] Tari, V.S. and Patil, P.Y. 2017b. Transfer of heavy metal in the soil to plant from a pesticide-contaminated area (mango orchards) of Ratnagiri District, Maharashtra, India. Res. J. Chem. Environ., 1(1): 26-32.

INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LEGAL RESEARCH JOURNAL
VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025
APIS – 3920 – 0011 | ISSN - 2584-1904
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

A. Application of the Polluter Pays Principle

The NGT has constantly applied the Polluter can pay precept (PPP) to preserve industries and different entities financially accountable for groundwater contamination. This precept, derived from international environmental law, locations the load of environmental cleanup and reimbursement on folks who motive the pollutants.

In original utility No. 224 of 2016, the NGT addressed intense groundwater infection because of industries inside the Ramganga river basin. The tribunal directed the industries to pay huge environmental compensation and mandated a comprehensive remediation plan. It stated that the failure of kingdom regulatory bodies to enforce legal guidelines efficiently necessitated the application of the PPP. The NGT determined the repayment quantity based on the size of the operations, the character of the pollutants, and the duration of the violation.

B. Enforcement of the Precautionary Principle

The Precautionary precept holds that where there are threats of serious or irreversible harm, loss of full clinical fact ought to no longer be a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to save you environmental degradation. The NGT has regularly invoked this principle to halt environmentally volatile activities, especially in severely over-exploited regions of Uttar Pradesh.

The NGT's intervention inside the Sushil Bhatt v. Moon beverages Ltd. and Ors. case is a top example. The tribunal limited the abstraction of groundwater with the aid of numerous beverage businesses in districts classified as "over-exploited" through the important ground Water Authority (CGWA). The NGT's directives in this example meditated a preventive method, prioritizing the conservation of the aquifer over the quick-time period commercial pursuits of the corporations.

C. The Public Trust Doctrine and the Right to Clean Water

The NGT, following the lead of the very best court docket, has implemented the general public accept as true with Doctrine, which recognizes positive natural assets, which includes groundwater, as a public trust held through the kingdom. The kingdom, as a trustee, is obligated to protect those resources for the not unusual right. This doctrine is closely linked to the right to a healthy surroundings, that is an implicit part of the fundamental right to lifestyles underneath Article 21 of the constitution of India. The NGT's judgments on groundwater have continuously upheld the general public's proper to get right of entry to secure and clean water.[101]

IV. Critical Analysis: The Gap Between Adjudication and Implementation

At the same time as the NGT's jurisprudence is powerful and modern, its true impact is measured through the on-the-floor implementation of its orders. The NGT's judgments on groundwater troubles in Uttar Pradesh, while legally sound and innovative, often face a huge hole among adjudication and on-the-floor implementation. This "implementation deficit" is the primary task to the tribunal's capability to honestly set up the environmental rule of law. it is not a failure of jurisprudence but as a substitute a breakdown within the enforcement equipment of the state.

The NGT's directives rely heavily on state organizations just like the Uttar Pradesh pollutants manipulate Board (UPPCB) for enforcement. however, those agencies are regularly sick-geared up to perform their obligations. They suffer from a continual loss of manpower, monetary sources, and technical knowledge to display compliance effectively. as an instance, tracking pollutants in groundwater or ensuring that industries have useful Effluent remedy vegetation (ETPs) requires sophisticated gadget and trained employees,

[101] Water Science School 2019. U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS), Water Science School. https://www.usgs.gov/special-topics/water-scienceschool

which the UPPCB often lacks. This forces the NGT to repeatedly issue orders, form joint committees, and are seeking repute reviews, developing a cycle of litigation and non-compliance. in many instances, the reports submitted by nation agencies are located to be incomplete or to comprise "crucial gaps," as the NGT itself has mentioned in numerous judgments. he NGT's orders, specifically those imposing heavy fines or requiring factory closures, regularly face resistance from powerful commercial lobbies and are met with a lack of political will from the state management. The "Polluter pays principle," even as legally sturdy, may be difficult to implement while industries argue that the charges of compliance are prohibitive, that can lead to task losses and monetary disruption. This presents a convenient excuse for kingdom authorities to postpone or dilute enforcement. furthermore, the reliance on a largely useless bureaucracy to do so towards politically related entities creates a good sized barrier to imposing judicial directives.[102]

The NGT's activism, while necessary, can on occasion create a disjointed technique to environmental governance. by way of taking over instances that fall in the purview of the nation's own Uttar Pradesh ground Water (management and law) Act, 2019, the tribunal can inadvertently weaken the local regulatory framework. this could result in a state of affairs in which country and district government experience that the obligation lies with the NGT, thereby diluting their own experience of possession and accountability. The failure to empower and useful resource neighborhood our bodies, along with the newly formed ground Water Committees at the district and gram panchayat levels, manner that the NGT's decrees, regardless of how powerful, often fail to cascade to the grassroots stage wherein the real change desires to occur..

Herein lies the biggest challenge to the environmental rule of law in Uttar Pradesh.

A. Institutional and Enforcement Deficiencies- The effectiveness of the National Green Tribunal's (NGT) judgments in Uttar Pradesh is significantly hampered by the institutional and enforcement deficiencies of the state's regulatory bodies, primarily the Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board (UPPCB).

- **Lack of Capacity**: The UPPCB and other regulatory bodies, which are tasked with implementing NGT orders, often lack the financial, technical, and human resources to do so effectively. Monitoring for complex pollutants and ensuring the installation of advanced treatment plants is a resource-intensive task.
- **Coordination Failures**: The NGT often constitutes **Joint Committees** with representatives from the CPCB, CGWA, UPPCB, and district administration to conduct ground-level inspections and submit reports. However, a lack of inter-agency coordination and a culture of blame-shifting often lead to delays and ineffective action.

[102] Rodell, M. 2009. Satellite-based Estimates of Groundwater Depletion in India. Nature J., 460: 999-1002.

INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LEGAL RESEARCH JOURNAL

VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE I OF 2025

APIS – 3920 – 0011 | ISSN - 2584-1904

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

B. Economic and Political Hurdles- A primary obstacle is the high cost of compliance for industries, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). While the NGT applies the Polluter Pays Principle to mandate costly remediation, many industries argue that the required investments in effluent treatment plants (ETPs) and cleaner technologies are prohibitive. They often claim that such costs would threaten their economic viability and lead to job losses, thereby framing the environmental issue as a trade-off between economic growth and environmental protection. This argument is used to pressure state agencies and influence policy decisions to either delay enforcement or grant exemptions. The result is that industries often choose to pay fines or challenge the orders rather than make the necessary investments.[103]

- **Lobbying and Influence**: The NGT's strict orders, especially those imposing heavy fines and directing factory closures, often face resistance from powerful industrial lobbies. Political pressure can slow down or even stall the enforcement of these directives.
- **The "Cost of Compliance"**: Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which are major contributors to groundwater pollution, often argue that the cost of installing and maintaining advanced treatment plants is prohibitive. While the NGT has a mandate to be "cost-effective," this remains a significant on-the-ground challenge.

C. The Role of the State and its Local Laws

The Uttar Pradesh ground Water (management and regulation) Act, 2019, whilst a step inside the proper route, has no longer been fully integrated into the enforcement mechanism. The NGT's position frequently bypasses the country's own regulatory authority, main to jurisdictional ambiguities and a lack of possession in addressing the hassle at the local level.

spotting the escalating crisis, the Uttar Pradesh authorities enacted the Uttar Pradesh floor Water (control and regulation) Act, 2019. This was a landmark flow aimed at developing a committed prison framework for the conservation, protection, and regulation of groundwater. The Act created a new nation floor Water management and Regulatory Authority and a decentralized structure with committees at the district and gram panchayat stages. Its key provisions encompass mandatory registration for bulk and business users, penalties for illegal extraction, and the promotion of rainwater harvesting. The regulation turned into supposed to offer a proactive and complete technique to groundwater management.[104]

V. Conclusion and Recommendations

The national green Tribunal has certainly played a transformative position in India's environmental jurisprudence. Its proactive and progressive rulings on groundwater in Uttar Pradesh have set effective precedents, affirmed fundamental rights, and compelled a degree of accountability that became formerly impossible. It has acted as a crucial check at the country's failure to shield a vital public useful resource.

but, the promise of the environmental rule of regulation remains unfulfilled with out effective enforcement. The crisis of groundwater governance in Uttar Pradesh isn't always just a criminal problem but also an institutional and a socio-political one. The NGT's judgments serve as a powerful catalyst for alternate, but they can't, on their personal, clear up a deep-rooted governance failure.

To bridge the gap between judicial pronouncements and on-the-ground reality, the following recommendations are crucial:

[103] Margat, J. and van der G.J. 2013. Groundwater Around the World: A Geographic Synopsis. Taylor & Francis Group, London.

[104] Khan, S.A., Puthucherril, T.G. and Paul, S.R. (Eds.). 2021. Groundwater Law and Management in India: From an Elitist to an Egalitarian Paradigm. Springer, Singapore.

1. **Strengthening State Institutions**: The UPPCB and the State Ground Water Authority need significant financial and technical resources to effectively monitor pollution and enforce the law.
2. **Decentralized Governance**: Empowering local bodies like Gram Panchayats and municipalities to manage and protect groundwater resources would lead to more effective and context-specific solutions.
3. **Public Participation and Awareness**: A sustained campaign to educate citizens about the value of groundwater and to empower them to act as environmental watchdogs is essential.
4. **Integration of Legal Frameworks**: Clear protocols and a seamless working relationship between the NGT, the state government, and local regulatory bodies are necessary to avoid jurisdictional conflict and ensure unified action.

In conclusion, the NGT's contribution to the environmental rule of law in Uttar Pradesh is both significant and limited. While it has successfully laid the legal foundation for sound groundwater governance, the true success of its efforts will only be realized when the state's administrative machinery rises to meet the challenge of implementation, thereby translating the principles of justice from paper to practice.

References

1. Government of India. 2001. Minor Irrigation Census, Report on Census of Minor Irrigation Schemes (1993-94). Minor Irrigation Division, Ministry of Water Resources, New Delhi
2. George Chasemore v Henry Richards. 1859. VII House of Lords Cases 349 (House of Lords, 27 July 1859).
3. Chaddha, D.K. 2000. Groundwater recharge: option for groundwater management. J. Transp. Infrastruct., 7(4): 44-57.
4. Burke, J.J. 2001. Groundwater and Society: Resources, Tensions and Opportunities. United Nations, New York
5. Balasubramanian, A. 2015. The World's Water: Technical Report. Centre for Advanced Studies in Earth Science, University of Mysore. Boelens, R. and Vos, J. (Eds.). 2018. Water justice. Cambridge University Press
6. Singh, O.P. 1998. Salinity and Waterlogging Problems on Irrigation Commands. Agricultural Salinity Management in India, Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana.
7. Singh, D.K. 2001. Water Resources Development and Management Strategies for Rajgarh Block of Mirzapur District. Water Technology Centre, Indian Agricultural Research Institute.
8. Shah, T. 2000, August. Mobilising social energy against environmental challenge: Understanding the groundwater recharge movement in Western India. In: Natural Resources Forum, 24(3): 197-209).
9. Tari, V.S. and Patil, P.Y. 2017a. Challenge of contamination of pesticides for Alphonso in Ratnagiri District, Maharashtra, India. Res. J. Chem. Environ., 21(12),:54-64.
10. Margat, J. and van der G.J. 2013. Groundwater Around the World: A Geographic Synopsis. Taylor & Francis Group, London